



# No evidence to link folate status or folate intake to prostate cancer risk

*Evaluating results from a meta-analysis assessing folate exposure and prostate cancer*

## Does folate increase prostate cancer risk? This brief breaks down the science.

A meta-analysis by Wang et al., 2014<sup>1</sup> examined about 200,000 individuals from 10 prospective studies and found no significant association between folate intake and prostate cancer risk (pooled risk ratio=1.02, non-significant p-value). The paper also evaluated the risk of prostate cancer based on serum folate levels. The study reported that “high serum folate levels” resulted in a 21% increase in the risk of prostate cancer (pooled risk ratio=1.21; 95% confidence intervals (1.05, 1.39) and P=0.008).



### Is consuming more folate associated with prostate cancer risk?

**No.** The study found no significant association, meaning there was no positive link between folate intake and prostate cancer risk. The studied populations had relatively low supplement exposure; the highest levels of folate intake across the studies were 260-640+ micrograms/day, which generally reflects intake from dietary folate sources (except in one study, which took place in the US post-fortification<sup>6</sup>). In these populations, the highest levels of folate intake were generally under, or close to, the Recommended Nutrient Intake of dietary folate equivalents for adults (400 micrograms/day).<sup>4</sup>



### Are serum folate levels associated with prostate cancer risk?

Wang et al. 2014<sup>1</sup> compared populations with the highest serum folate levels from each study and found a slightly increased risk. But let's reassess how each study defined its highest level. Serum folate levels are closely linked to folate intake and reflect recent folate intake. However, the studies included in meta-analysis had wide differences in their population's highest serum folate levels: one study's highest serum folate levels is considered borderline deficient (>8.03 nmol/L<sup>7</sup>), while another had such a wide range of exposures that their highest serum folate level ranged between 14.3-102.4 nmol/L<sup>8</sup>). Combining such wide-ranging definitions of “high serum folate” makes the meta-analysis's pooled risk ratio estimate problematic to interpret.

In comparison, folate deficiency is defined as serum/plasma folate concentrations <6.8 nmol/L. Possible deficiency is defined as 6.8-13.4 nmol/L and a normal range for serum/plasma folate is 13.5-45.3 nmol/L.<sup>9</sup> Proposed optimal serum folate levels to prevent neural tube defects range from 24-28 nmol/L.<sup>10</sup> Four of the five studies included in the Wang, et al. 2014 meta-analysis included individuals who would have been considered folate insufficient. Although these individuals had the highest levels of serum folate for their population, their levels were still quite low. Thus, it may be more accurate to say that the Wang et al., 2014 meta-analysis found an increased risk of prostate cancer in a broad range of individuals with low, normal, and elevated serum folate levels.

## How much folic acid is usually provided through fortification?

Food fortification, when following World Health Organization (WHO) fortification guidelines,<sup>2,3</sup> adds about 30-50% of the recommended intake of folic acid (400 mcg/day) to staple foods. Women of reproductive age are advised to consume 400 mcg/day of folic acid to prevent birth defects.<sup>4</sup> **When designed appropriately, fortification programs will never contribute folic acid amounts that exceed the upper tolerable nutrient intake level (UL) for adults, or 1000 mcg/day).** Regularly exceeding the UL is far more likely when multiple supplements containing folic acid are consumed. A nationally representative analysis of diets found that no-one in the US exceeded the folic acid UL through fortified foods alone.<sup>5</sup>

| <i>Studies in Wang et al. 2014</i>    | <i>Definitions for “high” folate intake or status*</i> | <i>Adjusted odds ratios or relative risks (95% confidence intervals)</i> | <i>P-trend</i> |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|----------------|
| <i>Exposure: “High” folate intake</i> |  |  |                |
| Stevens et al. 2006 (US†)             | >640 micrograms/day                                    | 1.11 (1.01-1.22)   | 0.35           |
| Weinstein et al. 2006 (Finland)       | >378 micrograms/day                                    | 0.96 (0.81-1.15)   | 0.84           |
| Bassett et al. 2012 (Australia)       | >444 micrograms/day**                                  | 1.00 (0.83-1.22)   | 0.62           |
| Verhage et al. 2012 (Netherlands)     | >259 micrograms/day**                                  | 1.05 (0.87-1.26)   | 0.913          |
| Roswall et al. 2013 (Denmark)         | >468 micrograms/day                                    | 0.87 (0.71-1.07)   | 0.23           |
| <i>Exposure: “High” folate status</i> |  |  |                |
| Hultdin et al. 2005 (Sweden)          | >10.30 nmol/L  | 1.60 (1.03-2.49)   | 0.02           |
| Johansson et al. 2008 (pan-Europe)    | ≥8.03 nmol/L   | 1.30 (0.88-1.93)   | 0.41           |
| Beilby et al. 2010 (Australia)        | 14.3-102.0 nmol/L                                      | 1.09 (0.48-2.46)   | 0.83           |
| de Vogel et al. (2013) (Norway)       | ≥39.7 nmol/L   | 1.15 (0.97-1.37)   | 0.04           |
| Weinstein et al. (2003) (Finland)     | ≥10.79 nmol/L  | 1.2 (0.74-1.94)  | 0.52           |

All of these p-values are larger than 0.05, indicating there is no relationship between high intake and prostate cancer. The overall meta-analysis also found no relationship.

These populations included a mix of individuals with possibly deficient, normal, and elevated folate status. It is extremely difficult to interpret what the increased risk is when the exposure is not well defined.

\*Where relevant, converted from ug/L to nmol/L using 1 ug/L = 2.266 nmol/L

\*\*Study design did not measure supplement intake, so folate intake does not account for folic acid from supplements.

† Fortification with folic acid is mandatory in the country at the time of the study.

## Multiple independent safety panels have reached consensus that there are no proven adverse effects related to consuming folic acid at levels below the upper tolerable level, as intended through food fortification.

There is no consensus that folate intake or blood folate status is related to prostate cancer risk. On the other hand, there is scientific consensus that low folate intake is linked to cancer,<sup>11</sup> and that folate is important for health and development.<sup>4</sup>

More recent reviews and national safety panels<sup>11,12,13,14</sup> have concluded that there are no adverse effects related to the consumption of folic acid in the context of food fortification. Wang et al., 2014's results are difficult to interpret considering the wide range in folate status included in the study. Food fortification is designed to provide safe amounts of vitamins and minerals, not supplement-level quantities.





# No evidence to link folate status or folate intake to prostate cancer risk

*Evaluating results from a meta-analysis assessing folate exposure and prostate cancer*

## References

1. Wang, R., et al. Folate intake, serum folate levels, and prostate cancer risk: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. BMC Public Health 14, 1326 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-1326>
2. World Health Organization. Guideline: fortification of wheat flour with vitamins and minerals as a public health strategy. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. <https://iris.who.int/server/api/core/bitstreams/2137254a-d798-4459-83dc-9f31c851ff44/content>
3. World Health Organization. Guideline: fortification of maize flour and corn meal with vitamins and minerals. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. <https://iris.who.int/server/api/core/bitstreams/a442cf75-e05d-4b8b-b3a8-4f98fd33a40d/content>
4. World Health Organization, Food and Agriculture Organization. Vitamin and mineral requirements in human nutrition. Second Edition. 2004. <https://iris.who.int/server/api/core/bitstreams/d2d18d86-d4ef-471e-a4a0-964044f31c3f/content>
5. Orozco AM, et al. Characteristics of U.S. Adults with Usual Daily Folic Acid Intake above the Tolerable Upper Intake Level: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2003-2010. Nutrients. 2016 Apr 1;8(4):195. doi: 10.3390/nu8040195. PMID: 27043623; PMCID: PMC4848664.
6. Stevens VL, et al. Folate nutrition and prostate cancer incidence in a large cohort of US men. Am J Epidemiol 2006, 163:989-996.
7. Johansson M, et al. Circulating concentrations of folate and vitamin B12 in relation to prostate cancer risk: results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition Study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2008, 17:279-285.
8. Beilby J, et al. Serum levels of folate, lycopene,  $\beta$ -carotene, retinol and vitamin E and prostate cancer risk. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2010 Oct;64(10):1235-8. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2010.124. Epub 2010 Aug 4. PMID: 20683458
9. World Health Organization. Serum and red blood cell folate concentrations for assessing folate status in populations. WHO/NMH/NHD/EPG/15.01. 2015. <https://iris.who.int/server/api/core/bitstreams/781a6c61-8263-438b-a982-f0c57cb879ef/content>
10. Fothergill A, et al. Estimating the serum folate concentration that corresponds to the red blood cell folate concentration threshold associated with optimal neural tube defects prevention: A population-based biomarker survey in Southern India. Am J Clin Nutr. 2023 May;117(5):985-997. doi: 10.1016/j.ajcnut.2023.01.016. Epub 2023 Apr 19. PMID: 37137617; PMCID: PMC10356561.
11. Maruvada P, et al. Knowledge gaps in understanding the metabolic and clinical effects of excess folates/folic acid: a summary, and perspectives, from an NIH workshop. Am J Clin Nutr. 2020 Nov 11;112(5):1390-1403. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqaa259. PMID: 33022704; PMCID: PMC7657327.
12. Field MS, Stover PJ. Safety of folic acid. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2018 Feb;1414(1):59-71. doi: 10.1111/nyas.13499. Epub 2017 Nov 20. PMID: 29155442; PMCID: PMC5849489.
13. Office of the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor and Royal Society Te Apārangi, New Zealand. The Health Benefits and Risks of Folic Acid Fortification of Food. June 2018.
14. Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition, United Kingdom. Folic acid: updated SACN recommendations. Accessed November 19, 2025. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/folic-acid-updated-sacn-recommendations>

