

# Rice Fortification's Impact on Nutrition



## *Vitamin and Mineral Deficiencies and Public Health*

Thirty percent of the global population is affected by vitamin and mineral deficiencies that have negative health outcomes (1). Fortification of staple foods has been shown to effectively reduce the burden these conditions place on vulnerable populations.

## *Why and How to Fortify Rice?*

Rice loses a large percentage of several key nutrients during the milling process (2), yet rice can be fortified to restore or increase its nutrient content.

Rice is a staple food consumed by more than half of the world's population. In 59 countries, an average of at least 75 grams of rice is available per person per day (3). The total population of these countries is 4.1 billion. Reaching even half of that population would ensure a greater daily nutrient intake among 2 billion people. However, currently only 6 nations have legal mandates for rice fortification: Costa Rica, Nicaragua, Panama, Papua New Guinea, the Philippines, and the United States (4).

Rice can be fortified using dusting, coating, or extrusion technologies. Of these, the latter two involve the blending of fortified kernels with non-fortified rice, typically at a ratio of 1:100. Coating and extrusion technologies retain nutrient content after washing and cooking by commonly used methods, while mimicking the look of non-fortified rice (5). The cost of fortification is estimated to be 10-20 U.S. dollars per metric ton of rice (5). These costs are minimal compared to the health and economic costs that result from micronutrient deficiencies (5) and could further decline as more countries implement rice fortification programs (2). Thus, fortification is economically feasible and the technical capability exists to improve nutrition through rice fortification.

**Opportunity**  
***Rice is a staple food consumed by more than half of the world's population, thus rice fortification provides an avenue to help combat micronutrient deficiencies.***

## *Efficacy of Rice Fortification*

Twelve rice fortification efficacy studies from published literature were reviewed. These studies, carried out in controlled environments, compared a variety of health outcomes between individuals who received fortified rice and those who received non-fortified rice (6-17). They were conducted in Brazil, India, Mexico, the Philippines, and Thailand, and typically used rice kernels produced by extrusion technology. Rice was fortified with various nutrients including iron, folic acid, thiamin, niacin, vitamin A, and vitamin B12. The results from the outcomes assessed are summarized in the table below.

Overall, the strongest evidence for rice fortification was observed for iron-related outcomes. Statistically significant improvements were observed in 5 out of the 8 studies that assessed serum ferritin, 6 out of 7 of the studies that assessed iron deficiency, and in both studies that assessed iron body stores. Following these observations, the studies were separated into those that fortified rice with iron only, and studies that fortified rice with multiple micronutrients (including iron).

Nine of the studies investigated rice fortified with iron only (6-14). Five out of 6 studies observed increases in ferritin levels, and 5 out of 5 reported reductions in the prevalence of iron deficiency. Both iron-related indicators (ferritin, iron deficiency) improved in 5 out of the 5 studies that delivered at least 13 mg/d of iron.

Only 3 of the studies reviewed assessed the impact of rice fortified with multiple micronutrients (15-17). None of these 3 studies reported statistically significant improvements in serum ferritin levels; only one study found a reduction in the prevalence of iron deficiency. Reasons for lack of significant results in these studies may be because an insufficient amount of iron was added to the rice. All of the multiple micronutrient studies used fortification levels that delivered less than 13 mg/d of iron and observed little improvement in iron

indicators. However, all of the iron-only studies that delivered at least 13 mg/d of iron reported statistically significant improvements in iron-related indicators. This observation is consistent with the recommendation that about 14 mg/d of electrolytic iron should be delivered through wheat flour fortification (18). Similar recommendations for rice fortification have not been made, however ferric pyrophosphate (which is commonly used in rice fortification) and electrolytic iron (which is commonly used in wheat flour fortification) have a similar bioavailability—which is about 50% of the bioavailability from ferrous sulfate meaning twice as much iron should be added for fortification. Considering the observed results, future multi-micronutrient studies should consider increasing the amount of iron added or using an alternate iron compound. Other factors, such as interactions between the multiple micronutrients may have influenced the results, but this is difficult to elucidate due to the small number of studies. The fact that the study populations were not consistent between all studies also makes comparing results difficult.

Two studies investigated rice fortified with vitamin A, but were not included in the table because there was no comparison group that was given non-fortified rice (19, 20). Both of these studies were conducted in night-blind pregnant women. One study compared an intervention group that received vitamin A fortified rice, plus iron and riboflavin delivered via capsule, to a comparison group that received vitamin A fortified rice only (19). Compared to the comparison group, a statistically significant improvement was observed in pupillary threshold, but not in plasma retinol. The other study compared 6 groups that were given vitamin A through various forms, one of which was through fortified rice (20). A statistically significant improvement in pupillary threshold and plasma were observed in all groups. Future studies that investigate other populations and include a non-fortified rice comparison group will be helpful in better understanding the efficacy of vitamin A rice fortification.

## *Effectiveness of Global Rice Fortification Programs*

When rice fortification is implemented on a large scale, more of the population can receive the health benefits. Four published studies investigated the effectiveness of rice fortification after implementation on a wide scale in Costa Rica, the Philippines, and Thailand (21-24). The one study that assessed hemoglobin and anemia found statistically significant improvements in these outcomes among children (24). Other outcomes investigated by these studies included beriberi, morbidity, and neural tube defects (NTDs) (21, 23, 24). The study investigating NTDs was conducted in Costa Rica. Costa Rica added folic acid to wheat and maize flour and saw a subsequent decline in NTDs. A further decline was observed after rice and milk were fortified with folic acid (21). This suggests that rice and milk fortification may have contributed to the additional decreases in the birth prevalence of NTDs. Due to the small number of investigations, additional effectiveness studies are necessary to better understand the impact rice fortification may have at the population level.

## *References*

1. Flour Fortification Initiative, Micronutrient Initiative, United States Agency for International Development, et al. Investing in the future: A united call to action on vitamin and mineral deficiencies—global report 2009. Ottawa: Micronutrient Initiative, 2009.
2. Muthayya S, Hall J, Bagriansky J, et al. Rice fortification: an emerging opportunity to contribute to the elimination of vitamin and mineral deficiency worldwide. *Food Nutr Bull.* 2012;33(4):296-307.
3. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. FAO STAT database. Available at: <http://faostat.fao.org/site/362/DesktopDefault.aspx?PageID=362>. Accessed 20 April 2014.
4. Food Fortification Initiative database [Internet]. Atlanta: Food Fortification Initiative [cited 2014 Apr 20].
5. Alavi S, Bugusu B, Cramer G, et al. Rice fortification in developing countries: a critical review of the technical and economic feasibility. Washington, DC: AZZ Project/Academy for Educational Development, 2008.
6. Angeles-Agdeppa I, Capanzana MV, et al. Efficacy of iron-fortified rice in reducing anemia among schoolchildren in the Philippines. *Int J Vitam Nutr Res.* 2008;78:74-86.
7. Beinrer MA, Velasquez-Meléndez G, Pessoa MC, et al. Iron-fortified rice is as efficacious as supplemental iron drops in infants and young children. *J Nutr.* 2010;140:49-53.
8. Hotz C, Porcayo M, Onofre G, et al. Efficacy of iron-fortified Ultra Rice in improving the iron status of women in Mexico. *Food Nutr Bull.* 2008;29:140-9.
9. Nogueira Arcajo FP, Santos PR, Leite J, et al. Rice fortified with iron given weekly increases hemoglobin levels and reduces anemia in infants: a community intervention trial. *Int J Vitam Nutr Res.* 2013;83(1):59-66.
10. Nogueira Arcajo FP, Santos PR, Segall S. Ferric pyrophosphate fortified rice given once weekly does not increase hemoglobin levels in preschoolers. *J Rice Res.* 2013;1(2): 1-6.
11. Nogueira Arcajo FP, Santos PR, Arcanjo C. Use of iron-fortified rice reduces anemia in infants. *J Trop Ped.* 2012;58(6): 475-480.

12. Moretti D, Zimmermann MB, Muthayya S, et al. Extruded rice fortified with micronized ground ferric pyrophosphate reduces iron deficiency in Indian schoolchildren: a double blind randomized controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2006;84:822–9.
13. Radhika MS, Nair KM, Kumar RH, et al. Micronized ferric pyrophosphate supplied through extruded rice kernels improves body iron stores in children: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled midday meal feeding trial in Indian schoolchildren. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2011;94:1202–10.
14. Zimmermann M, Muthayya S, Moretti D, et al. Iron fortification reduces blood lead levels in children in Bangalore, India. *Pediatrics* 2006;117(6):2014-21.
15. Pinkaew S, Winichagoon P, Hurrell RF, et al. Extruded rice grains fortified with zinc, iron, and vitamin A increase zinc status of Thai school children when incorporated into a school lunch program. *J Nutr.* 2013;143(3):362-8.
16. Pinkaew S, Wegmuller R, Wasantwisut E, et al. Triple-fortified rice containing vitamin A reduced marginal vitamin A deficiency and increased vitamin A liver stores in school-aged Thai Children. *J Nutr.* 2014;144(4):519-24.
17. Thankachan P, Rah JH, Thomas T, et al. Multiple micronutrient-fortified rice affects physical performance and plasma vitamin B-12 and homocysteine concentrations of Indian school children. *J Nutr.* 2012;142:846–52.
18. Hurrell, R, Ranum R, de Pee S, Biebinger, Hulthen L, Johnson Q, Lynch S. Revised recommendations for iron fortification of wheat flour and an evaluation of the expected impact of current national wheat flour fortification programs. *Food Nutr Bull.* 2010;31(1):S7-S21.
19. Graham JM, Haskell MJ, Pandey P, et al. Supplementation with iron and riboflavin enhances dark adaptation response to vitamin A–fortified rice in iron-deficient, pregnant, nightblind Nepali women. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2007;85:1375–84.
20. Haskell MJ, Pandey P, Graham JM, et al. Recovery from impaired dark adaptation in nightblind pregnant Nepali women who receive small daily doses of vitamin A as amaranth leaves, carrots, goat liver, vitamin A–fortified rice, or retinyl palmitate. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2005;81:461–71.
21. Arguello M, Solis L. Impacto de la fortificación de alimentos con ácido fólico en los defectos del tubo neural en Costa Rica. *Rev Panam Salud Publica* 2011;30(1):1-6.
22. Angeles-Agdeppa I, Saises M, Capanzana M. Pilot-scale commercialization of iron-fortified rice: Effects on anemia status. *Food Nutr Bull.* 2011;32:3-12.
23. Gershoff SN, McGandy RB, Suttapreyasri D. Nutrition studies in Thailand. II. Effects of fortification of rice with lysine, threonine, vitamin A, and iron on preschool children. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1977;30:1185-95.
24. Salcedo J Jr, Bamba MD, Carrasco EO, et al. Artificial enrichment of white rice as a solution to endemic beriberi; report of field trials in Bataan, Philippines. *J Nutr.* 1950;42:501–23.

**Table. Summary of outcomes assessed and study results among 12 published studies that investigated the efficacy of rice fortification<sup>1</sup>.**

<i>Outcome assessed (unit)</i>	<i>Number of studies that found significant improvement in this outcome</i>	<i>Total number of studies that investigated this outcome</i>
<b>Hemoglobin (g/L)</b>	4	11
<b>Anemia (%)</b>	5	8
<b>Serum ferritin (µmol/L)</b>	5	8
<b>Iron deficiency (%)</b>	6	7
<b>Plasma retinol (µmol/l)</b>	1	4
<b>Transferrin receptor (mg/L)</b>	2	4
<b>Iron-deficiency anemia (%)</b>	0	3
<b>C-reactive protein (mg/L)</b>	0	3
<b>Iron body stores (mg/kg)</b>	2	2
<b>Zinc protoporphyrin (µmol/mol heme)</b>	1	2
<b>Serum zinc (µmol/L)</b>	1	1
<b>Blood lead (µg/dl)</b>	1	1
<b>Elevated C-reactive protein (%)</b>	1	1
<b>Homocysteine (µmol/L)</b>	1	1
<b>Plasma B12 (pmol/L)</b>	1	1
<b>Total body reserves of retinol (µmol)</b>	1	1
<b>Serum thiamin (nmol/L)</b>	0	1
<b>Vitamin A deficiency (%)</b>	0	1
<b>Zinc deficiency (%)</b>	0	1

<sup>1</sup> Studies were considered to have found significant improvements in an outcome if a statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) change was seen in the outcome from baseline to study end in the intervention group that received fortified rice versus a comparison group that received non-fortified rice.

How to cite this document: Food Fortification Initiative (FFI). Rice fortification's impact on nutrition. Atlanta, USA: FFI, 2014. Available from [www.FFInetwork.org](http://www.FFInetwork.org) Accessed on [date].